Elizabeth D. Friedman, Assistant Municipal Attorney Municipal Attorney's Office
P.O. Box 196650
Anchorage, Alaska 99519-6650
e-mail: friedmaned@muni.org
(907) 343-4545
(907) 343-4550 facsimile
Attorney for Defendants
Municipality of Anchorage
Andrew Cottle
Gregory Witte

Kenneth McCoy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

PATRICK L. SHORTY)
Plaintiff,)
VS.) Case No. 3:05-cv-00237-TMB
MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE, OFFICER)
ANDREW COTTLE, INDIVIDUALLY AND IN)
HIS CAPACITYAS AN ANCHORAGE POLICE	,)
OFFICER, OFFICER WITTE, INDIVIDUALLY)
AND IN HIS CAPACITY AS AN ANCHORAGE)
POLICE OFFICER, KENNETH D. MCCOY,)
INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS CAPACITY AS)
AN ANCHORAGE POLICE OFFICER)
Defendants.)) _)

OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Come now the Defendants, Municipality of Anchorage, Officer Andrew Cottle, Officer Gregory Witte and Officer Kenneth D. McCoy ("Municipal Defendants"), by and through counsel, the Anchorage Municipal Attorney, and hereby oppose the Plaintiff's

Motion for Extension of Time. The Plaintiff has requested an open-ended extension of

time in which to oppose the Defendants' Motion for Summary judgment which was filed

on December 20, 2006. (Docket 50).

After waiting three months for a response, the Defendants filed a Notice on March

27, 2007 that the Motion was ripe for decision. The court graciously re-sent the Motion

for Summary Judgment to the Plaintiff and sua sponte granted an extension of time until

May 10, 2007 for the Plaintiff to respond.

Plaintiff's motion reiterated earlier statements that without counsel he is unable to

proceed with this case. Plaintiff has previously moved the court to provide counsel to

him – a request which was denied. The Plaintiff provided evidence that he has requested

the Alaska Civil Liberties Union ("AKCLU") to represent him in this matter. The

AKCLU responded that it would screen his request in 2 to 4 weeks, but stated that it

accepts very few cases. It appears unlikely that the AKCLU will accept the Plaintiff's

case.

This court should deny the Plaintiff's request for an open-ended extension of time.

If the court wishes to grant the Plaintiff an extension until the AKCLU makes a

representation decision, it should set a deadline for filing an entry of appearance and/or

the filing of a pro se opposition. If these deadlines are not met, the Defendants would

respectfully request a ruling on the summary judgment motion.

Shorty v. Municipality of Anchorage et. al, 3:05-cv-00237-TMB Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Extension of Time

DATED this 7th day of May, 2007.

JAMES N. REEVES MUNICIPAL ATTORNEY

/s/ Elizabeth D. Friedman Elizabeth D. Friedman, Assistant Municipal Attorney P.O. Box 196650 Anchorage, Alaska 99519-6650 *e-mail*: uslit@muni.org Telephone (907) 343-4545 Facsimile (907) 343-4550 Alaska Bar No. 9306027

The undersigned hereby certifies that on 5/7/07 a true and correct copy of the *Defendants' Opposition* to *Motion for Extension of Time* was served on:

Patrick L. Shorty, Anchorage Correctional Complex West 1300 East 4th Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99501

by first class regular mail, if noted above, or by electronic means through the ECF system as indicated on the Notice of Electronic Filing.

s/ Cathi Russell
Cathi Russell, Legal Secretary
Municipal Attorney's Office

Shorty v. Municipality of Anchorage et. al, 3:05-cv-00237-TMB Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Extension of Time Page 3 of 3